G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1929.

(Misc)

File No. 362

Serial Nos.

6.7.8.9.10

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Nov 20

SUBJECT.

Petn from Mr Venkobarao complaining that the interest on his endowment has not been drawn & paid in time.

Misc Instn. D.C. Bangalore

Instr No 613 S 29 8-11-20

Noting & orders on it

WD 423—GPB—20,000 14-6-20

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.



The two chief points of complaint are (1) that the Taluk authorities did not arrange to supervise the conduct of the Aradhana at Abbur and (2) that the interest on endowt was not arranged to be paid in time

N.B.— Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

He concludes his letter by saying that if Govt cannot make satisfactory arrangement for the proper performance of the two sevas, they may return the bonds to him duly endorsed and leave it to him to make other arrangements.

4. In the G.O. No. 489-92/Mis 678-18-5 & 9-8-19 accepting the transfer of the pro-notes of the value of Rs 4000 as a specific to be held in trust for the performance of the services stipulated by Mr a Rao, the Mis. Supdt has been directed to draw the interest as it falls due and remit the amount to the Huzdar

N.B. -Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Amildar of Shamaspatna Taluk in time for the performance of the services.

Subd. with a draft G.M. forwarding the letters to the Mag: Suffolk for disposal, ~~& forwd.~~ for approval or other orders.

88.
12-6-20

N.B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Please prepare a letter
14/6

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

There has been a
delay in the Mint.
Supt's Office —.

~~It would be in~~
Whatever might have
been the Consideration
under which the responsibility
of drawing the interest
was put on the Mint.
Supt's Office — it will be
better to transfer the
responsibility to the Dr —
who might draw & distribute
the interest just as he does

Let the Amount
in Arrears be
drawn at once
The Interest
of U.S Imp
be deferred
until
17/6/2

Received 11.5.20

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

of other

16/6/20

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

D 549 (Mun 362-19)

Secretary's orders on the letter - 8/9/20

(1) Why has no reply been sent.

(2) Please put up reply at once

(sd) T.R.J.

Letter 12-9-20 from V.S. Rao Venkoba Rao complaining that interest on his endowment has not been credited that he has not been informed of the action taken on his letter of 8th June last.

It will be seen from the Mun: Supdt's office file put up for reference, that the interest amounting to Rs 9 6 on the endowment was drawn and remitted to the Amildar on 31-5-20 before the receipt of the letter of 8th June from Mr. Venkoba Rao.

Submitted with a draft reply to Mr. Venkoba Rao for approval

on small slips, slips pasted on other orders.

this form.

8/9/20 8/9/20

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

For orders -.

~~Considn~~. Mr Newsbatten
letter of June 20 called for
No reply shown -.

The present Comm:
is confined to ~~Baia~~
Language - not quite
consistent with the
responsibility which
the Govt have undertaken for Iran -.

14. 7 - Mr R.S. Vansittart
Can make letter
Arrangements himself -.
He may be allowed to do so -.

AMK
9.1.9/20

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, they must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

I really ought to have seen to Mr Venkateshan's letter dated 8-6-1920. Why was no action taken upon that letter?

[illegible]

The letter of 8 June 20 was to the effect that the interest was not being drawn timely = It was pointed out that it would be better to transfer the responsibility to Dy Dr-

The Order thereon was "to draw the interest in arrear" @ once & deal separately with the proposal made & transfer this.

N B —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

~~the~~ Amount had by them
drawn & wanted

So no further action
appears to be called for. This is no excuse
expect working up the so far as the donor is
propose to transfer. concerned. He should have
the responsibility of drawing his reply at once.
interest on such small
sum 2 - Supt & Dr —.

20. This is a recurring
question & one in which.
no office rule can be laid
post up - without a record of the
Min 2 - Supt's views on the
matter — & - the Supt is pressed
the responsibility, the Head Clerk of the
office should be directed to
that no delay occurs —

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

The responsibility
of the Treasurer
Dr
W 14/9/20
Asst

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 362 of 1919.

Serial No.

22. Draft orders &c. as per 21.20

SD
28/10/20 EL 29.10.20

23. There has been very considerable delay in putting up drafts in this case and this is highly unsatisfactory. I shall enquire into the matter.

Second Member 1/11/20

2502-20.
3.11.20

24. The delay is exceedingly unsatisfactory & shd be explained. Draft approved. When it is not possible to send a definite reply within a week or so, an acknowledgment at least shd be sent in such cases. It is very unbusinesslike not to do so. Official req. lapses should be noticed where the general public are concerned.

Paul
5.11.20

1 Issue.
2 Please explain delay
7.11.20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1449/20

R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Immediate

Letter to 1st Councillor

Lt Mr B. Venkatarao

Rifles to be kept ready

at H.Q.rs on 18th Nov

Attd 3/11/20

Subd

The connected file it is understood, was subd to the member at Mysore

SD 3/11/20 3/11/20

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

988

Vyasa Mandira,
Basavangudi,
Bangalore

A. R. Banarjee Esqre C.I.E
Member of Council
Mysore

Sir,

In the matter of my endowment for the Arathanate at about in Channapatna Taluk, vide F.O. 2431-34 Revg 362-19-2 dated the 13th Feb last I addressed a communication to Mr Sagarana Aiyer the Revenue Secretary on the 8th June last and although I sent him a reminder on the 2nd September last he has

not been pleased to send me an
answer or even acknowledge my
communication -

I request that you will kindly
send for me Sabon and come such
orders as the circumstances of
the case may warrant

Thanking you in anticipation

I remain Sir
Your Respectfully
B Van-Lear

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Muz File No. 362 of 1919-20

Serial No.	
9	To the Muz: Suptdt in Triplicane, Dt & Bangalore Copy to Govt & Copy to Mr VenkobaRao Cy. O no - 1613-5 & 1616 dy 8-11-20. Directs, in modification of the order dy 9th Augt 19, that the interest in the endowment made by Mr VenkobaRao be drawn as it accrues by the Dy: Tr:, Bangalore Dt, instead of the Muz: Suptdt
10	To B. Venkoba Rao Esqr, Upasa Mandiram, Basavangudi, Bangalore. Letter no - 1617 dy 8-11-20. Informs him that the half yearly interest on his endowment amounting to Rs 76 was drawn & remitted to the Amildar of Channapatna on 11-5-20 by means of a R. D. R before the receipt of his letter dy 8-6-20 & the fact was intimated to the D. C. Bangalore, & that the next half-yearly interest ~~due to be~~ which fell due on 1-10-20 will be drawn & ~~remitted~~ remitted to the Amildar very soon. The orders have issued & the explanation for delay has been, duly separately.

Sd.
16-11-20 16/11/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 56 of 19

Serial No.

x

2863-62
20-11-20

Explanation attached

Sgd. Note Remarks on

Secret.
22.11.20

22/11/20

22/11/20

S.T.
22/11/20

13

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on NOV 6 1920
Reg. No. 3527

EFFICIENCY AUDIT BRANCH.

ABSTRACT OF NEWSPAPER INTELLIGENCE.

Date 5th November 1920.

Sl. No. from 1st July	Heading of article	Name of newspaper, date and page	Subject
372	Representative Assembly	Sampadabyudaya 1-11-20.	States that the rules [illegible] for the discussion of subjects [illegible] of the Assembly are being [illegible] Government should see that members [illegible] the rules properly and [illegible] to the
373	Mysore Administration	do- 30-10-20	Referring to Rao's [illegible] criticisms on Mysore administration, says that the attack on His Highness is [illegible] is an abuse of language.
374	Muzrai Dept.	Karnataka 3-11-20.	Publishes the correspondence of Bally Venkoba Rao with the Muzrai Department in the matter of the non-performance of certain services for which he had endowed necessary funds.

Karnataka Note
under
8.11.20 Dewan's

Mem: File 362-19 subd.

ST 16/11

BD 16/11 Sdy 16/11/20

The newspaper under reference obtained from the Library is subd.

BD 23/11 Sdy 25/11

Reference is also invited to the routine notes put up herein, which contain explanations in connection with the matter submitted to the Second Member and his orders.

P.J.O. Second Member and Dewan 26/11/20

Rao 5/11/20

Refer @ once Asst 10.11.20

5L:9
3-12-19

EFFICIENCY AUDIT BRANCH.

ABSTRACT OF NEWSPAPER INTELLIGENCE.

Date

Sl. No. from 1st July	Heading of article	Name of newspaper, date and page	Subject
		3027-266 24-11-20.	Seen. The main file & wh. the note is appended with speak for itself. There was regrettable delay in dealing with the matter, but it has been set right since. Knowing Mr. B.V. Rao's methods as I do, I do not wish any more pen to no useful purpose will be served.

DEWAN'S OFFICE.
Recd. on DEC 1 1920
Reg. No. 3977

Dewan

Rec'd 30-11-20. U/1/12/20

U/1/12/20

The daily must be seen carried if the heavy log was seen more minutes

seen 3.12.20

Secretary U/4/12/20

Seen.

Rec'd 9-12-20 U/9/12/20

9-12

3226-148.
9.12.20

B

N O T E.

In Proceedings No.489-92/Muz.678-18-5 dated 9th August 1919, the Government accepted an offer of Rs.4,000/- in four Mysore 4% Loan Bonds as an endowment for the performance of certain sevas in Abbur Matt, Channapatna Taluk, and the Muzrai Superintendent was required to draw the interest as it accrued and remitted to the Amildar in time for the performance of the sevas.

2. Subsequently, Mr.Venkoba Rao tendered Mysore 5½% Loan Bonds to the value of Rs.3,500/- instead of 4% Bons for Rs.4,000/- and this tender was accepted by Government in Proceedings No.2431-4/Muz.362-19-5 dated 13th February 1920.

3. On 20th May 1920, a letterw was received from Mr.Venkoba Rao wherein he complained that one of the sevas - purvaradana fell on 15th May, that when he went to Chennapatna on the 11th and made enquiries he learnt that no arrangements had been -- made for want of order from the Deputy Commissioner to whom a reference had been made by the Amildar; that he then made other arrangements and had the Aradhane performed. Subsequently, hearing that a cheque had been received he called at the Taluk Office for the money on the 15th May, but was told --- that the cheque was with the Amildar who was away at Mallur and that he had to return -- without the money. Mr.Venkoba Rao requested that the money might be paid to him here and that future remittances may be sent --- in good time.

4. On 9th June another letter was received from Mr.Venkoba Rao wherein he stated that after some delay he got the cheque on the State Huzur Treasury, that on presenting the cheque for encashment, payment was refused on some technical --- ground that the cheque bore no rubber sta of the issuing Officer and that in short he had not received the money. Mr.Venko Rao concluded his letter as follows:-

> "I entrusted the matter to Government "thinking that under their management "the matter would be satisfactorily - "performed, but I find that my calcu- "lations have been wrong." If the -- "Government cannot make satisfactory "arrangement for the proper perform- "ance of the two sevas, they may re- "turn the Bonds to me duly endorsed - "and leave me to make other arrange- "ments."

5. In submitting papers for orders, it was suggested that it would be better -- to transfer the responsibility of drawing the interest to the Deputy Commissioner -- who might draw and disburse it just ~~as as~~ as he does in respect of other endowments. On this orders were passed that the amount of interest in arrears be drawn at once -- and that the proposal to transfer the responsibility of drawing the interest to the Deputy Commissioner might be separately -- submitted.

6. The interest had, however, already been remitted from the Muzrai Superintendent's Office on 11th May 1920. As Mr.Venkoba Rao himself had stated in his letters that the cheque had been received by the Amildar, it was thought that there was no object in giving him a reply about

the remittance of the cheque; and there -- remained the question of transferring the responsibility of drawing the interest in future to the Deputy Commissioner.

7. There are several cases of this kind; it was considered best to deal with all of them under one general order. But before all the Files relating to such endowments could be collected the further -- letter of Mr.Venkoba Rao dated the 2nd September 1920, was received and an order was passed under date 14th September 1920, that the responsibility may be transferred to th Deputy Commissioner.

8. In attending to this order, there was unfortunately, some delay in the office due to the pressure of other urgent work -- in connection with the investment of Muzrai Funds in the New Loan and a few other - - - matters of pressing urgency. On 8th - - - November 1920, a letter was sent to - - - - Mr.Venkoba Rao informing him that the half-yearly interest Rs.96/- was drawn and remitted to the Amildar of Chennapatna on 11th May 1920, and the next half-yearly interest which fell due on 1st October 1920, would be drawn and remitted to the Amildar very soon.

This was remitted by a Remmittance Transfer Receipt on 13th November 1920.

9. Orders have also been issued -- directing that further interest as it occrues will be drawn by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, who will -- issue the necessary orders to the Amildar in respect of the timely performance of th sevas.

Proceedings No.1613-5/Muz.362-19-9 dated 8th November 1920.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record

N B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

urgent, the case in question was put by to be taken up later on, as preference had to be given to papers of a more urgent nature (3) to more papers than I could attend to with a reasonable despatch, ~~as they~~ have had the ~~papers~~ referred to me, ~~as they were~~ as a rule, I take up more urgent papers first & then others in the order of their urgency. Besides, when the subject was this case-work clears, my case-work suffers to some extent. Last month, I was absent for 4 days in the office, as I had to serve as a juror in the Sessions Court, and for 5 days in the ~~office~~ and before when I went to Mysore on duty with the Commission.

* i.e. letters, accounts, Treasury receipts, etc. which have to be attended to, & which usually occupy 3 to 4 days in a month.

† which is usually from 10 to 5 P.M. All these work 12 to 13 days at my personal disposal to case-work

5. For the above reasons, I humbly beg that the delay may be overlooked.

& My explanation also appended

17-11-20

20 N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

16/11/20

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

with reference to the observations of the minutes in page 8 of the Note, I respectfully beg to submit that in his letters of 20th May & 8th June Mr Vanderkiste has written that the cheque for interest had been sent by the Auditor — His Complaint was therefore against the local authorities.

In these circumstances, I thought that until some action was taken on his letter as proposed by me there was no object in replying that the interest had been drawn & remitted to the Auditor — a fact which Mr Vanderkiste was aware of — —

NB —Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

particularly as the latter's request was only ~~[illegible]~~ that prompt action should be taken in the matter of the remittance of interest.

Q. As regds further action, ~~taken~~ on the files prepared, there are several cases of this kind & I had considered it best to deal with all such Cases together under one general order & not keep the files relating to these Cases. ~~Files~~ all could be closed.

The further letter of Mr Venkataramanan dd 2nd Sept 1920 was rec'd —

D. J. My view of the disposal of the case was a mistake, I regret it & beg to be excused

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

1.7.11.20

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

On 14 Sep 1920, I said that the responsibility for drawing the interest might be transferred to Mr O'Connor and I had said previously that a reply ought to have been sent to Mr B. Venkata Rao's letter of

The file came back only on 1st November 1920 when I was in Mysore. There was thus a delay of more than Six weeks in the part of the Assistant Kip. He is generally a careful officer and does not delay papers. He had evidently many work to get through during the time he was in Mysore

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

And he has been warned to be more careful in future

(Signed Illegible) 19/11/20

13 Explanation accepted but I should like to make it clear that explanation & delays in such cases are rarely convincing & that I do not consider them complimentary to any branch of service. Any part of crew or equipment can always be excused, but avoidable delays rarely, if ever at all.

Pell

22.11.20

22/11/20

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Vyasa Mandira,
Basavangudi,
Bangalore,
20th [illegible] 1920.

Dmd. No. 2403

19-1920
Mysore
File No. 362-19
S. 6

To

The Muzrai Secretary,

BANGALORE.

Sir,

I am sorry to say that the interest due on my endowment was not remitted for the observance of the PURVA ARADHANA on Thursday last, 13th instant, at Abbur and if I had not gone there accidently and made other arrangements the Aradhana would not have taken place and the large number of pilgrims who visited the place would have been put to considerable inconvenience.

On 11th I went to Chennapatna and enquired of the Amildar. He was a new arrival, it seems and he did not know anything of the affair. He sent for his Head Gumastha who said that an application had been sent to the Deputy Commissioner about a month before and that no reply had been received. I requested the Amildar to hurry up the matter and that I would in the meantime make other arrangements to carry on the affair. Two days later one of the Taluk Office clerks told me that a cheque had been received and that I might go and take or send for it. I was busy and could not attend. On Saturday 18th, on my way back to Bangalore, I called

called at the Taluk Office and asked the Sheristadar for payment. This official said the cheque had been taken away by the Amildar who was then at Malur and directed me to go there to receive it. I had to return here without payment. To this day the amount has not been paid. Kindly arrange to pay the amount to me here and to make future remittances in good time.

Yours obediently,

B. Kuttappa

9 JUN 1920

To

T. E. Jayarama Aiyar Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to Government, Luzrai Department.

Sir,

With reference to Government order No.2431-34/Luz.362-19-2, dated the 13th February 1920, I regret that the matter of my endowment should have been so indifferently treated in the very first year. The Aradhana fell this year on the 13th May. I went to Channapatna on my way to Abbur on the 11th and saw the Amildar casually to know what arrangements he had made for the Aradhana. He said he was a new comer and was completely ignorant of the matter. He sent for his Head Gumastha and made enquiries. The latter said that about a month prior to that day the Deputy Commissioner had been addressed and that nothing had been heard from that officer. The Amildar promised to send a reminder and obtain the money soon. I had to then arrange for a loan at that out-of-the way place and perform the Aradhana. No one from the Taluk on behalf of the Amildar was present to see that the -- Aradhana was satisfactorily conducted as requested by me. Two or three days later a cheque seems to have been received for the amount and on the 15th May on my way back to Bangalore City - when I called at the Talyk Office for the money, I was informed by the Sheristedar that the Cheque was with the Amildar who was away at Malur and that I might go to Malur to receive it. I thanked this official and told him that it was not convenient for me to run after the Amildar who had no business to take the cheque with him and at the same time requested him to arrange for payment at Bangalore City and immediately on my return to Bangalore City, I wrote to the Amildar on the 16th to this - effect. On the 17th, instead of complying with my request he

replied

replied to say that he was going to Bangalore City that day to attend some meeting and that he would attend to my business on his return. There was no excuse for this delay. The Treasury Officer is a different man and the Amildar has had no hand in the issue of the Remittance Transfer Receipt and it is not - clear why he did not at once arrange with the Sheristedar for payment. It is not also clear why he took the cheque with him on circuit instead of leaving it with the Treasury Officer. I believe he returned to Channapatna on the 19th on which day at least he could have complied with my request but did no such - thing. I waited for his communication until the 25th on which day I sent him a reminder. On the 27th idem, on receipt of this reminder he wrote to me to say that he had sent that day a - Remittance Transfer Receipt to the Amildar of Bangalore City. He might have sent it to me direct. He gave me the trouble of going to the Taluk Cutcherry here to receive, not the money, but the cheque with which I had to go to the Huzur Treasury to receive actual payment. The Remittance Transfer Receipt was prepared on the 20th May but was not sent until the 27th after the receipt of myreminder. The Amildar has purposely dated his - letter to me 22nd May and shown the date of despatch as 27th May. At this late stage there was again some fresh trouble and delay. When the cheque was presented at the Huzur Treasury and payment demanded, payment was refused on the ground that the cheque - bore no rubber stamp of the ~~writing~~ officiating officer. The cheque had to be returned to Channapatna and to this day I have received no payment. I am put to considerable inconvenience and those who lent money at Abbur are pressing me. If I had not gone to - Channapatna by mere accident, the Aradhana would not have taken place and the several hundreds of pilgrims who went there would have had to return starving.

I entrusted the matter to Government thinking that under

their

their management the matter would be satisfactorily performed but I find that my calculations have been wrong. If the Government cannot make satisfactory arrangement for the proper performance of the two Sevas, they may return the bonds to me duly endorsed and leave me to make other arrangements.

I beg to remain,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Bangalore City
8th June 1920.

Vyasa Mandira,
Basavangudi,
Bangalore,

2nd Sep 1920

The Secretary to the Govt
Revenue Dept
Bangalore 362-19
8

Sir,

On the 8th June I wrote to you complaining of the very unsatisfactory manner in which the aradhana at Ahobilam was performed and to this day I have not heard from you as to action taken in the matter - May I please let me know whether suitable & satisfactory arrangements will be made in future - If not I shall have to make other arrangements

Kartik is very near and
Dhabi Narayan has the
observed then -

Yours affectionately

B. Venkataraman

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read:-

G. O. No. 489-82/Muz. 378-19-5 dated 9th August 1919 accepting the offer made by Mr. Venkoba Rao, Traffic Manager, Mysore Railways, of 4 Mysore Government promissory notes of the value of Rs. 4000/-, as a specific endowment to be held in trust for the benefit of certain services in the Adaur Matt, Channapatna Taluk, and directing that the interest should be drawn by the Muzrai superintendent from time to time and remitted to the Amildar for the performance of the stipulated sevas.

2. G.O. No. 3461-4/Muz. 362-19-5 dated 15th February 1920 accepting, in modification of the above order, 5½% papers of the value of Rs. ~~3500/-~~ 3500/- in lieu of 4 ~~Mysore~~ papers of the value of Rs. 4000/-.

3. Communication dated 2nd September 1920 from Mr. Venkoba Rao representing that the interest on his endowment has not been drawn and paid in time for the performance of the sevas intended by him.

1673-5

Dy 8.11.20 order No. Muzrai 362-19-9

In modification of the orders conveyed in the Government Order of 9th August 1919, the Government direct that the interest as it accrues, be drawn by the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, who will issue the necessary orders to the Amildar, in respect of the timely performance of the sevas.

Recd 5.11.20

The Deputy Commr, Bangalore
Copy to Board.

Meer Sufdar in Mysore
1673/5
Copy forwarded for information & Muzrai Secy to Govt
Uf 11/11/20

To

B. Venkoba Rao, Esq.,

Vyasa Mandira,

Basavangudi, Bangalore.

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of your letter dated 2nd ~~instant~~ September 1920 in the matter of the payment of interest on your endowment, I am directed to inform you that the half-yearly interest amounting to Rs. 96/- on your endowment was drawn and remitted to the Amildar of Channapatana on 11th a. 1920 by means of a R.T.R. before the receipt of your letter of 4th June last and the fact was also intimated to the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District.

2. The next half-yearly interest which fell due on 1st October 1920 will be drawn and remitted to the Amildar very soon.

I have etc.,

1/11/20

Secretary to Government,

Muzrai Department.

Memo. 1613

Copy forwarded to the Muzrai Superintendent for information. Necessary action in the matter should be taken in time.

By Order,

Secretary to Government,

Muzrai Department.

vs./1-11-20.